Modelling of viscoelastic materials with LS-Dyna



11th German LS-Dyna Forum 2012, Ulm

Veronika Effinger, DYNAmore GmbH

> Paul Du Bois Consultant



Overview

- Motivation
- Models for viscoelastic materials in LS-Dyna
 - Generalized Maxwell Model
 - Tabulated hyperelasticity
- Modelling of a rubber material
 - MAT_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER
 - MAT_OGDEN_RUBBER
 - BioRID Jacket Certification Test



Motivation

Characteristic properties of viscoelastic solids



Creep under constant stress

- Stress relaxation under constant strain ε_{0} ε_{0} σ $\sigma(t) = \varepsilon_{0} G(t)$ τ t
 - Instantaneous and delayed recovery



- Many materials show viscoelastic characteristics
 - Rubbers
 - Foams
 - Thermoplastics
 - Composites
 - ...



Motivation

Models for viscoelastic materials in LS-Dyna

- Linear viscoelastic material models based on rheological models
 - Material models: 6, 61, 76, 86, 134, 164, 234, 276,...

Maxwell-Element

Kelvin-Voigt-Element

Standard linear Solid

Generalized Maxwell Element









- Material model (equilibrium stress) + viscoelastic overstress
 - Material models: Hyperelasticity, (Visco-) Plasticity, …

57, 73, 77, 87, 91, 124, 127, 129, 155, 158,175, 178,...

- Viscoelastic Overstress: Generalized Maxwell Element
- Material models with elastic and strain rate dependent characteristics
 - Rubbers and Foams: MAT_181 (MAT_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER/FOAM), MAT_083
 - Creep: MAT_115, MAT_188
 - Quasilinear viscoelasticity: MAT_176





Rheological model with linear elements



Spring (Hooke) $\sigma(t) = G\varepsilon(t)$ Dashpot (Newton) $\sigma(t) = \eta \frac{\partial \varepsilon(t)}{\partial t}$

Linear differential equation or integral equation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} u_n \frac{\partial^n \sigma(t)}{\partial t^n} = \sum_{m=0}^{N} q_m \frac{\partial^m \varepsilon(t)}{\partial t^m} \qquad \qquad \sigma(t) = \int_0^t G(t-u) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u} du$$

 u_n , q_m : material constants

G(t) : relaxation function

3D-Formulation

$$\sigma_{ij}(t) = \int_0^t 2G(t-u) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}(u)}{\partial u} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kk}(u)}{\partial u} \delta_{ij} \right) du + \int_0^t K(t-u) \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{kk}(u)}{\partial u} \delta_{ij} du$$





1. Solution of the homogeneous differential equation $\sigma_h(t) = e^{\lambda t}$

$$\frac{\eta}{G}\sigma_h(t) + \frac{\partial\sigma_h(t)}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \sigma_h(t) = e^{-\frac{\eta}{G}t} = e^{-\beta t} \qquad \beta = \frac{\eta}{G} : \text{ decay constant}$$

2. Particular solution: Variation of constants $\sigma(t) = k(t)e^{-\beta t}$

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left(G_{eq} + G e^{-\beta (t-u)} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u} du = \int_{0}^{t} G(t-u) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u} du$$
 Integral equation



• $N \ge 1$: Prony-Series

$$G(t) = G_{eq} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\beta_i t} \qquad \sigma(t) = \int_{0}^{t} G(t-u) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u} du$$

Linear viscoelasticity for simple, homogeneous and non-aging materials

$$\sigma(t)=\mathcal{F}_{u=0}^\infty(\varepsilon(t-u))$$

Stress-Strain Linearity

$$\mathcal{F}_{u=0}^{\infty}(\alpha\varepsilon(t-u)) = \alpha\mathcal{F}_{u=0}^{\infty}(\varepsilon(t-u))$$
$$= \alpha\sigma(t)$$

Boltzmann Superposition Principle

$$\mathcal{F}_{u=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varepsilon_n(t-u)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_{u=0}^{\infty}(\varepsilon_n(t-u))$$

"An increase in the stimulus by an arbitrary factor α must increas the response by the same factor."

"An arbitrary sequence of stimuli must elicit a response which is equal to the sum of the responses which would have been obtained if all stimuli had acted independently."





- Fading memory $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma_1(t) = \varepsilon_1 G_{eq}$
- Stress-Strain Linearity

$$\varepsilon_{2}(t) = \varepsilon_{2}\mathcal{H}(t) = \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}}\varepsilon_{1}\mathcal{H}(t) = \alpha\varepsilon_{1}(t)$$

$$\sigma_{2}(t) = \alpha \sigma_{1}(t)$$

One test curve defines all other curves. Linear viscoelastic material behaviour cannot be identified with one relaxation curve.







Equilibrium and non-equilibrium stress



Non-equilibrium, viscoelastic stress as overstress for different material models





MAT_OGDEN_RUBBER

- Equilibrium stress: Hyperelasticity
 - Ogden strain energy potential and principal stresses

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_j}{\alpha_j} \left(\lambda_i^{*\alpha_j} - 1 \right) + K(J - 1 - \ln J)$$

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_k \lambda_j} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_i}{J} \left[\lambda_i^{*\alpha_j} - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\lambda_k^{*\alpha_j}}{3} \right] + K \frac{J - 1}{J}$$



 λ_i : principal stretches μ_j , α_j : material constants

• Uniaxial loading for incompressible material: $J \approx 1$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = (\lambda_1)^{-1/2} = (\lambda_{uni})^{-1/2}$

$$\sigma_{uni} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\mu_j \lambda_{uni}^{\alpha_j} - \mu_j \lambda_{uni}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\mu_j (1 + \varepsilon_{uni})^{\alpha_j} - \mu_j (1 + \varepsilon_{uni})^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j} \right)$$

 Non-equilibrium stress: Viscoelasticity Generalized Maxwell Element for deviatoric deformation

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\beta_i t} \stackrel{\nu=0.5}{\cong} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{E_i}{3} e^{-\beta_i t}$$



Tabulated hyperelasticity

- Hyperelasticity without parameter identification
 - MAT_FU_CHANG_FOAM (MAT_083)
 - MAT_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER (MAT_181)
- Ogden Model: Series expansion for incompressible material

Ogden Model: Series expansion for incompressible material
$$f(\lambda_i^*) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \lambda_i^{*\alpha_j}$$

$$\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\mu_i}{J} \left[\lambda_i^{*\alpha_j} - \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\lambda_k^{*\alpha_j}}{3} \right] + K \frac{J-1}{J} = \frac{1}{J} \left[f(\lambda_i^*) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^3 f(\lambda_i^*) \right] + K \frac{J-1}{J}$$

$$\sigma_{uni} = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\mu_j \lambda_{uni}^{\alpha_j} - \mu_j \lambda_{uni}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j} \right)$$

$$f(\lambda_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha_{j}} = \sigma_{uni}(\lambda_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j}} = \sigma_{uni}(\lambda_{i}) + \sigma_{uni}\left(\lambda_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}^{\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{j}}$$
$$= \sigma_{uni}(\lambda_{i}) + \sigma_{uni}\left(\lambda_{i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \sigma_{uni}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}^{-\frac{1}{8}\alpha_{j}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{uni}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}}\right) \quad \text{Exit if } \left\|\lambda_{i}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}}\right\| \le 1.01$$



Tabulated hyperelasticity

- Rate dependency
 - Loading: Interpolation between strain rates within table definition
 - Unloading: lowest strain rate or damage model



- Damage model
 - Closed loading and unloading path define damage evolution $d = d(\varepsilon_{uni})$
 - Unloading: $\sigma_{uni} = \sigma_{uni}(1-d)$



- Silicone Jacket in BIORID II
- Material characterization
 - incompressible material
 - compression and tensile tests
 - quasistatic and dynamic testing





- Modelling with LS-Dyna: Comparison of strain rate dependent modelling
 - MAT_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER (MAT181)
 - MAT_OGDEN_RUBBER (MAT077_O)
- BioRID Jacket Certification Test
 - Pendulum acceleration
 - Sled acceleration





Material characterization

Equilibrium stress

> Quasistatic test curves – Fit to Ogden strain energy potential for MAT077 and MAT181



Non-equilibrium stress

> Viscoelastic overstress = test curves - Ogden-Fit

MAT181: Strain-rate dependent table

MAT077: Generalized Maxwell Element





MAT_SIMPLIFIED_RUBBER

Table: Ogden-Fit and dynamic test curves





- Strain rate dependency
 - engineering strain rate (RTYPE=1)
 - simple average of 12 time steps (AVGOPT=0)
 - rate effects are treated identically in tension and compression (TENSION=1)
- Unloading
 - Internal damage formulation based on quasistatic unloading path (LCUNLD)
 - Rate dependent unloading path



MAT_OGDEN_RUBBER

Parameter identification for Prony-Series

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{-\beta_i t} \stackrel{\nu=0.5}{\cong} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{E_i}{3} e^{-\beta_i t}$$

uniaxial tensile tests ($\dot{\varepsilon}_i = cst.$)

limited to relevant time scales

$$\beta_i = \frac{1}{\dot{\varepsilon}_i}$$





BioRID Jacket Certification Test: MAT_77



Pendulum Displacement



- Minor differences for 3 Prony series
- Initial stiffness: turning point and pendulum force
- Best result for analytical fit

Sled Displacement



Pendulum Force





BioRID Jacket Certification Test: MAT_77 vs. MAT_181

Pendulum Displacement



Sled Displacement



Pendulum Force



IP 19

- MAT_181
 - Better agreement for loading
 - Too small hysteresis

Tabulated Hyperelasticity vs. Linear Viscoelasticity

Relaxation

Loading and Unloading

-4E-06

0.002 0.004 0.006

0.008

0.01 0.012

true strain []

0.014 0.016



 MAT_77: longer relaxation time leads to sign reversal in the stress upon unloading

0.018

Summary

- Rheological models
 - Linear viscoelasticity
 - No difference between compression and tension
 - Time-consuming parameter identification
 - Test curves differ from model answer
- Tabulated hyperelasticity
 - Nonlinear rate dependency in compression and tension
 - Direct tabulated input using test results
 - Limited modelling of characteristic viscoelastic properties: no creep, no relaxation
 - Difficult to match unloading behaviour in component test simulations



Thank you for your attention!

